



THE COMPLEXITY OF PHRASAL VERBS AND THEIR CHALLENGES FOR ESL LEARNERS

Abdunazarova Zarina Islomovna

Samarqand davlat chet tillar instituti

PhD, katta o'qituvchisi

Abstract. *Phrasal verbs are a distinctive and pervasive feature of English that combine a verb with one or more particles, creating meanings that often differ from the individual words. Despite their frequency in everyday language, phrasal verbs pose significant challenges for ESL learners due to their idiomatic nature, multiple meanings, and syntactic variability. This paper explores the reasons behind the difficulty of phrasal verbs for ESL students, examining linguistic, cognitive, and pedagogical factors. It also discusses implications for teaching strategies aimed at improving learners' comprehension and productive use of phrasal verbs.*

Key words: *Phrasal verbs, ESL learners, pedagogical factors, idiomatic nature, multiple meanings.*

INTRODUCTION.

Phrasal verbs are an integral part of English communication, frequently used in both spoken and written forms. They consist of a verb combined with a preposition or adverb particle, such as “give up,” “look after,” or “run into.” While native speakers use phrasal verbs naturally, ESL learners often find them confusing and difficult to master.

One major reason for this difficulty is the idiomatic and sometimes unpredictable meanings of phrasal verbs, which cannot always be deduced from the meanings of their individual components. Additionally, many phrasal verbs have multiple meanings depending on context, further complicating learners' understanding. The syntactic flexibility of phrasal verbs—such as separability in transitive forms—adds another layer of complexity.

Moreover, traditional grammar instruction often overlooks phrasal verbs or treats them superficially, leaving learners underprepared for their frequent appearance in authentic language use. Understanding why phrasal verbs are challenging is crucial for developing effective teaching methods that help learners grasp both their meaning and usage.

This paper investigates the linguistic and cognitive reasons behind the difficulties ESL learners face with phrasal verbs and explores pedagogical approaches to facilitate their acquisition and practical use.

Discussion

Phrasal verbs present a multifaceted challenge for ESL learners, stemming from their idiomatic meanings, multiple interpretations, syntactic variability, and limited explicit instruction. Understanding these challenges in depth can guide more effective teaching and learning strategies.

Idiomatic and Non-Literal Meanings

One of the primary reasons phrasal verbs are difficult is their idiomatic nature. For example, the verb phrase “give up” does not literally mean to “give something upward,” but rather “to quit or stop trying.” Similarly, “break down” can mean “to stop functioning” (e.g., a car breaks down), or “to become emotionally upset” (e.g., someone breaks down in tears). Learners often try to interpret phrasal verbs by combining the literal meanings of their parts, which leads to confusion and misunderstanding.

Multiple Meanings Based on Context

Many phrasal verbs have more than one meaning depending on context, which increases the complexity. For instance, “take off” can mean “to remove clothing” (“He took off his jacket”), “to depart by airplane” (“The plane took off”), or “to become successful rapidly” (“Her business really took off”). This semantic flexibility requires learners to develop strong contextual inference skills, which can take time and exposure to acquire.



Syntactic Variability and Separable/Inseparable Verbs

Phrasal verbs differ in whether their particles can be separated from the verb or not. For example, “turn on” is separable: “Turn on the light” vs. “Turn the light on.” But “look after” is inseparable: “Look after the kids” cannot be split into “Look the kids after.” This syntactic variability often confuses learners when constructing sentences and affects both comprehension and production.

Formal vs. Informal Usage

Many phrasal verbs are informal, while their single-word synonyms are more formal. For example, “put off” (meaning “postpone”) is informal compared to “postpone” itself. ESL learners, especially in academic or professional contexts, may struggle to choose when to use phrasal verbs appropriately, leading either to avoidance or inappropriate usage.. Limited

Explicit Instruction and Practice

Traditional ESL curricula often underemphasize phrasal verbs or present them in isolated lists without context. This approach neglects the nuances of meaning, usage, and register. For example, learners may memorize “give up” as “quit” but fail to recognize its usage in different tenses or forms, such as “gave up,” “giving up,” or “give it up.” Without rich, contextualized input and practice, learners find it difficult to internalize and produce phrasal verbs naturally.

Examples Illustrating Common Difficulties:

- Misinterpretation of literal meaning:

Incorrect: “She gave up the book to me.”

Correct: “She gave the book up to me.” (Here, the meaning should be “She handed over the book,” but learner confuses “give up” as “quit.”)

- Multiple meanings confusion:

“He took off his hat.” (remove clothing) vs.

“The plane took off.” (departed) vs.

“His career took off.” (became successful)

- Separability issues:

Correct: “Turn on the TV.” or “Turn the TV on.”

Incorrect: “Turn on it the TV.”

- Formality and register problems:

Formal writing: “The meeting was postponed.”

Informal: “The meeting was put off.”

Learners might mistakenly use phrasal verbs in formal essays, affecting tone.

To overcome these challenges, teaching strategies should:

- Contextualize learning by using authentic texts and conversations where phrasal verbs appear naturally.

- Raise awareness of idiomatic meanings through comparison with literal interpretations.
- Practice multiple meanings of phrasal verbs in varied contexts to build flexible understanding.
- Explicitly teach separable vs. inseparable phrasal verbs, providing clear rules and plenty of sentence-level practice.

- Address register and formality, guiding learners on appropriate contexts for phrasal verb usage.
- Use technology and multimedia resources like videos, quizzes, and interactive exercises to enhance exposure and practice.

- Encourage noticing and input-rich learning so learners gradually internalize patterns and usages rather than relying solely on memorization.

By implementing these strategies, educators can help learners develop greater confidence and competence in understanding and using phrasal verbs effectively.

Conclusion

Phrasal verbs are notoriously difficult for ESL learners due to their idiomatic meanings, multiple



interpretations, syntactic complexities, and nuanced usage across different contexts and registers. This paper has explored the linguistic and pedagogical factors contributing to these difficulties, highlighting how they interfere with both comprehension and production.

Effective teaching requires a functional and contextualized approach that combines explicit grammar instruction with meaningful, communicative practice. Addressing the semantic nuances, syntactic patterns, and register variations of phrasal verbs can significantly enhance learners' ability to use them accurately and confidently.

In conclusion, phrasal verbs should be given focused attention in ESL curricula, with rich, varied exposure and practice opportunities. Doing so will equip learners with vital tools for fluent, natural English communication in both informal and formal contexts.

REFERENCES:

1. Azar, B. S., & Hagen, S. A. (2009). Understanding and using English grammar (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
2. Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course (2nd ed.). Heinle & Heinle.
3. Hornby, A. S. (2015). Oxford advanced learner's dictionary (9th ed.). Oxford University Press.
4. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2005). English phrasal verbs in use. Cambridge University Press.
5. Scrivener, J. (2010). Learning teaching (3rd ed.). Macmillan Education.
- ?? Ibayev, A. J. Comparative analysis of the derivation of simple sentences in English and Uzbek. International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN, 2321-9653.
- ?? Jurabekovich, I. A., & Deberdeeva, E. E. (2026). Stylistic Function and Structural-Semantic Features of Occasional Phraseological Units in Speech. Central Asian Journal of Social Sciences and History, 7(2), 1-8.
- ?? Jo'rabekovich, I. A. (2026). THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAYS TO DEVELOP READING COMPREHENSION SKILLS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. Shokh Articles Library, 1(1).
- ?? Jo'rabekovich, I. A., & Isroilovna, I. S. (2025). TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL TEACHING METHODS: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES. YANGI O'ZBEKISTON, YANGI TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI, 2(7), 953-958.
- ¹ IBAYEV, A. INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA FRAZEOLOGIK BIRLIKLARNING DERIVATSION POTENTIALI. FILOLOGIYA VA PEDAGOGIKA, 20.
- ¹ Ibaev, A. (2020). K voprosu polucheniya sravnitelnyh soedineniy. Ученый XXI века, (12-1 (71)), 101-103.
- ¹ Mirzoyev, J. (2022). HOFIZ SHEROZIY G'AZALIDAGI NOZIK JIHATLARINING TARJIMADA BERILISHI.(FORS-INGLIZ TILLARI MISOLIDA). Ученый XXI века, (5-1 (86)), 83-85.
- ¹ Мирзоев, Ж. (2024). Классификация фразеологизмов с компонентом «Глаза» и их семантические свойства (на примере повести С. Айни «Старая школа»). Зарубежная лингвистика и лингводидактика, 2(1), 6-12.
- ¹ Мирзоев, Ж. (2024). Лексико-семантическая особенность перевода терминов. Переводоведение и лингвистика в эпоху цифровых технологий: изучение передовых подходов, 1(1), 137-139.
- ¹ Aslonov, S. S. (2020). O'zbek Va Ingliz Tilida Jins Mazmunini Bildiruvchi So'zlar Tahlili. Студенческий вестник, (16-10), 55-58.
- ¹ Shahram, A., Umida, K., & Zarina, R. (2020). Information technology's role in the study of foreign languages. ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 10(3), 183-185.
17. Shahram, A. (2025, December). AFGHAN-AMERICAN DIASPORA LITERATURE AND ITS DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS (BASED ON THE WORKS OF KHALED HOSSEINI). In CONFERENCE OF MODERN SCIENCE & PEDAGOGY (Vol. 1, No. 9, pp. 701-704).